



Identification of moderate and drastic salinity stress-tolerant genotypes in canola cultivars using stress tolerance indicators

Arda Karasakal

Department of Science and Engineering, Yildirim Beyazit University, Ankara, Turkey

*Correspondence: icnfsci@gmail.com Received 04-05-2022, Revised: 18-06-2022, Accepted: 20-06-2022 e-Published: 23-06-2022

Canola (*Brassica napus* L.) is one of the most important oilseeds in the world. Then again, saline soils and the salinity of irrigation water are of the most important factors of ambient stress in canola production. Thus, to evaluate and identify moderate and drastic salinity tolerant genotypes in canola cultivars using experimental stress tolerance indicators on 7 canola cultivars named (Talaieh, Hayola 50, Hayola 420, Reg & cob, Opera, H6729, and T98007) in different salinities of irrigation water including (normal water (control), 5 and 10 Desi Siemens per meter) as a split-plot experiment in the format of a randomized complete blocks design with four iterations in the crop year of 2020-21 in Astara city was performed. Salinity was considered as the main factor and cultivars as the sub-factor. In this research, the highest grain yield under normal conditions was related to Hayola 420 and H6729 cultivars with an average of 2.68 and 2.44 tons per hectare, and the lowest grain yield was related to Hayola 50 with an average of 1.88 tons per hectare. The highest grain yield under moderate and drastic salinity stress was the mean (2.33 and 2.3 ton per hectare for Hayola 420) and (2.01 ton per hectare for Hayola 420, respectively), respectively and the lowest yield of the grain was related to Reg & cob under moderate salinity stress conditions with an average of 1.6 tons per hectare and T98007 under drastic salinity conditions with an average of 1.5 tons per hectare. In this study, among the cultivars evaluated, Hayola 420 and H6729 cultivars had the highest values of stress tolerance in both conditions. While cultivar T98007 showed the lowest amount of stress tolerance. In both moderate and drastic salinity stress conditions (salinity 5 and 10 Desi Siemens), very high values of correlation between STI and GMP indicators with MP indicator cause the MP indicator to be hidden in these two indicators, and that's why the figures selected based on Both STI and GMP indicators mostly use high values of MP indicator.

Keywords: Salinity stress, Canola, Tolerance indicators

INTRODUCTION

Canola (*Brassica napus* L.) is an annual plant of the Brassicaceae family and is called Canola in England, Canola in Canada, Rape in Germany, and Colza in France. It is known as Colza in Iran. (Aran, 2015). Canola oil has a high nutritional quality compared to the oil of other oilseed plants due to its significant content of unsaturated fatty acids and is the second most abundant oilseed in the world with a production of 56.5 million tons (Alizadeh 2021, Karasakal 2021, Karasakal 2021, Mohammadzadeh 2021, Radmanesh 2021) and because of the compatibility of this plant with the climatic conditions of most parts of Iran, the development of its cultivation is promising to supply the crude oil needed by the country and being free from dependence; So that now, canola is the gravity center of programs to increase the production of oilseeds (Arshadi Khamseh et al. 2012). The world's population is growing significantly and is expected to reach 8 billion by 2025, indicating that the world's

population increasing by nearly 80 million each year (Gholamin and Khayatnezhad 2020, Karasakal, Khayatnezhad et al. 2020, Bi, Chen et al. 2021, Chen, Khayatnezhad et al. 2021, Hou, Li et al. 2021). It's anticipated that global population increase often occurs in developing countries while food problems are currently a serious problem and on the other hand, population pressure on farmlands for providing food is great (Zhu, 2001; Chinnusamy et al. , 2005 and Bybordi, and Tabatabaei, 2012). Salinity is one of the most important limiting stresses on crop production, which its domain is expanding with the increasing of the cultivated area of Faryab (Gholamin and Khayatnezhad 2020, Cheng, Hong et al. 2021, Huang, Wang et al. 2021, Liu, Wang et al. 2021, Ma, Ji et al. 2021, Ma, Khayatnezhad et al. 2021). Annually, between 250,000 and 500,000 hectares of the world's farmlands are removed from the production cycle due to salinity (Skaggs et al., 2006). Based on Momeni studies (Moameni, 2011), the area of lands with different

degrees of soil salinity is 6.55 million hectares, equivalent to 34% of the total area of the country (Iran), of which 6.8 million hectares are farmlands (Gholamin and Khayatnezhad 2020, Khayatnezhad and Gholamin 2020, Guo, She et al. 2021, Peng, Khayatnezhad et al. 2021, Sun and Khayatnezhad 2021, Tao, Cui et al. 2022). For appropriate and steady use of these inputs, we have to evaluate plants in the environmental stress conditions. One of the main approaches for salinity stress conditions is to use an extensive variety of cultivars of a crop concerning salinity stress. In this method, more tolerant cultivars to be used for cultivation in areas with salinity restrictions are identified (Gholamin and Khayatnezhad 2021, Yin, Khayatnezhad et al. 2021, Zhang, Khayatnezhad et al. 2021, Zhao, Wang et al. 2021, Zheng, Zhao et al. 2021). Generally, salinity stress affects different stages of growth of crop (Khorsandi and Anagholi, 2009) and the Brassica family and canola are not spared from this rule (Hatami, 2005). Among crops, canola is known as a salt-tolerant plant (Shannon, 1998). This plant is the first crop to be cultivated in the Netherlands' sea departed farmlands (Enferad et al., 2004). Some researchers have considered the study of adsorption mechanisms and ion accumulation patterns in different parts of canola in identifying genotypes or salt-resistant and sensitive lines so important (Pustini and Si and Semarde, 2001). The most harmful effect of salinity on canola growth is the reduction of plant size, photosynthetic level, and plant function (Gholamin and Khayatnezhad 2020, Jia, Khayatnezhad et al. 2020, Si, Gao et al. 2020, Sun, Lin et al. 2021, Wang, Shang et al. 2021, Wang, Ye et al. 2021). Salinity significantly reduced plant size, number of pods per plant, number of seeds per pod, the weight of 1000 seeds, and oil quality. Root and shoot weight of canola species also decreased due to salinity, however, there were significant differences between disrupted species (Karasakal, Khayatnezhad et al. 2020, Khayatnezhad and Gholamin 2020, Xu, Ouyang et al. 2021, Zhu, Liu et al. 2021, Wang, Ma et al. 2022). Salinity stress reduced the number of seeds in the germination stage, due mainly to the reduction of photosynthesis under the influence of salinity. The main reason for grain weight loss was osmotic and ionic stress due to salinity stress. The percentage of seed oil was not affected by salinity (Hatami, 2005). Mahmoodzadeh (Mahmoodzadeh, 2008) after evaluating two cultivars of canola Okapi and Symbol in salinity conditions stated that vegetative growth and seed function of both cultivars were not affected by salinity up to 3 Desi Siemens, but crossing the salinity threshold, the ratio of wet to dry biomass, pod weight and leaf thickness increased, while the seed weight of secondary and main pods, weight of 1000 seeds, the number of leaves and branches decreased (Alizadeh 2021, Karasakal 2021, Mohammadzadeh 2021, Radmanesh 2021). Per capita, oil consumption in the country (Iran), is more than 17 kg, so more than one million tons of oil is needed annually, of which a maximum of

about 15% is supplied through domestic sources and the rest through imports sources and the outflow of large amounts of currency from our country. Canola oilseed is considered one of the important oilseeds that can adapt to different climates due to its different cultivars and types of growth and having about 45% oil and 20-25% protein in the seed can play an important role to increase oil production in Iran (Khayatnezhad and Gholamin 2021, Li, Mu et al. 2021, Shi, Khayatnezhad et al. 2021, Zhu, Saadati et al. 2021). It is essential to study its various quantitative and qualitative aspects to access the desired performance. One of the strategies to confront the problem of salinity is the cultivation of salinity tolerant cultivars. This study was performed aiming to identify moderate and drastic salinity tolerant genotypes in canola cultivars using stress tolerance indicators in Astara city.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

In this experiment, 6 cultivars of canola named (Talaieh, Hayola 50, Hayola 420, Reg & cob, Opera, H6729, and T98007) were tested in different salinity levels of irrigation water including (normal (control), 5 and 10 Desi Siemens per meter) as a split-plot experiment in the format of a randomized complete blocks design with four iterations in the crop year of 2020-21 in Astara city was performed. Salinity was considered as the main factor and cultivars as the sub-factor. After selecting the test site, soil samples were prepared by sampling from two depths of 0-30 and 30-60 cm, and physicochemical properties of soil including N, Ph, K, O.C, pH, ECe, and texture were measured. The required treatment saline water was provided from the saline river and the above source water was qualitatively examined including EC, pH, the number of required anions, and cations. The irrigation time of all plots was according to what is common among the locals. Each experimental plot had 5 lines with a length of 6 m and a row spacing of 30 cm. Also, the distance between iterations was 2.5 meters. Farm preparation processes including tillage, disc, leveler, and fertilization were carried out in early September (fertilizers were consumed based on soil tests and soil and water research department that were 90 kg/ha urea, 150 kg/ha ammonium phosphate, and 90 kg/ha Potash). Planting was done manually. To control weeds, Terflan herbicide was used one week before planting, at a rate of 2 liters per hectare, and disc operation was performed immediately to mix the herbicide and the soil. In the two-leaved stage, thinning and spacing treatments on the rows were done. To increase the plant growth rate, 100 kg/ha of urea fertilizer was used. Other agricultural cares for farms such as weeding and irrigation were done at the right time and based on climatic conditions and local customs.

Drought tolerance indicators

Measurement of stress sensitivity indicators by the performance of the case study genotypes under flood and stress conditions according to Fischer and Maurer

(Fischer and Maurer, 1978), Rosielle and Hamblin (Rosielle and Hamblin, 1981), and Fernandez (Fernandez, 1992)'s proposed relations was calculated by the following equations:

Stress Sensitivity Indicator (SSI)

This indicator was proposed by Fisher and Maurer (1978), such that the changes in potential and actual performance in different ambiances are displayed by this indicator. They offered the following formula to calculate the drought sensitivity indicator.

$$\text{Stress Sensitivity Indicator SSI} = (1 - (Y_{Si} / Y_{pi})) / SI$$

Wherein:

Y_{Si} = Hergenotype performance under stress conditions
 Y_{pi} = Hergenotype performance under normal conditions

SI= intensity of the stress which is defined by the following relation:

$$\text{Stress intensity SI} = 1 - (Y_S / Y_P)$$

Here S and P are the average performance of all genotypes under stress and normal conditions, respectively.

According to the aforementioned formula, the smaller the stress sensitivity indicator, the lower the performance changes of a cultivar in stress conditions in comparison with the favorable conditions, and consequently, that cultivar is less sensitive to stress and is more resistant. According to Fernandez (1992), selection based on SSI leads to the choice of stress-resistant and poor performance genotypes.

Stress Tolerance Indicator (STI)

Fernandez (1992) presented the stress tolerance indicator as follows.

$$\text{Stress tolerance indicator STI} = (Y_{pi} \times Y_{Si}) / Y_p^2$$

In which Y_{pi} and Y_{Si} are the yield of each genotype or cultivar under normal and stressful conditions, respectively, and Y_p is the average yield of all genotypes under normal conditions. According to Fernandez (1992), this indicator can identify genotypes with a good performance in both stressful and normal environments. This indicator causes the selection of genotypes with high performance and resistance to stress.

Stress Tolerance Indicator (TOL)

Stress tolerance is calculated by the following equation:

$$\text{Tolerance indicator TOL} = (Y_{pi} - Y_{Si})$$

This indicator was reported by Rosielle and Hamblin (Rosielle and Hamblin, 1981), and the smaller the value, the better the genotype or cultivar reacted to stress and thus had more stress tolerance.

Arithmetic mean performance (MP)

The arithmetic mean can be calculated from the following relation:

$$\text{Mean performance indicator MP} = (Y_{pi} + Y_{Si}) / 2$$

The higher the arithmetic mean of performance, the more resistant the cultivar was to stress conditions (Rosielle and Hamblin, 1981), and selection based on the arithmetic mean led to the selection of genotypes with high performance (Fernandez, 1992).

Geometric Mean Performance (GMP)

The geometric mean performance is calculated from the following formula:

$$\text{Geometric Mean Indicator GMP} = \sqrt{Y_{pi} \times Y_{Si}}$$

The higher the geometric mean, the more resistant the cultivar or genotype was to stress conditions (Rosielle and Hamblin, 1981). According to Fernandez (1992), this indicator is effective in identifying plants with higher performance in stressful and flooding conditions. To determine the best indicators, the correlation between grain performance under stress and flooding conditions and different indicators was used and the indicator that had a high and significant correlation with grain performance in both conditions, considered as the best indicator.

Information analysis method

Variance analysis of the data obtained from the measurement of the studied properties was performed by MSTAT-C and SPSS-24 software and the comparison of the mean values was performed with Duncan's method at a probability level of 5%. Correlation between the studied properties was performed by SPSS-24 software. Graphs were drawn using Excel software.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The values of total performance and mean grain performance of the evaluated cultivars under normal, moderate, and drastic salinity stress (salinity of 5 and 10 Desi Siemens) conditions Have been mentioned in Table 1. In this research, the highest grain performance under normal conditions, with mean values of 2.68 and 2.44 tons per hectare were related to Hayola 420 and H6729 cultivars, and the lowest grain performance with a mean value of 1.88 tons per hectare belonged to Hayola 50. The highest grain performance under moderate and drastic salinity stress (salinity of 5 and 10 Desi Siemens) belonged to Hayola 420 cultivar and Hayola 420 cultivar respectively with mean values of (2.33 and 2.3 tons per hectare) and (2.01 ton per hectare), and the lowest grain performance in moderate salinity stress condition with a mean value of 1.6 tons per hectare was related to Reg & cob and in drastic conditions with a mean value of 1.5 tons per hectare was belonged to T98007.

Table 1: Grain performance and mean grain performance of cultivars under control, moderate and drastic salinity stress conditions (salinity of 5 and 10 Desi Siemens)

Genotypes	Salinity 5 dS				Salinity 10 dS			
	Y_p	Y_s	\bar{Y}_p	\bar{Y}_s	Y_p	Y_s	\bar{Y}_p	\bar{Y}_s
Talayeh	2.13	1.95	2.11	1.93	2.13	1.65	2.11	1.72
Hayola 50	1.88	1.75	2.11	1.93	1.88	1.52	2.11	1.72
Hayola 420	2.68	2.33	2.11	1.93	2.68	2.01	2.11	1.72
Opera	2.15	1.97	2.11	1.93	2.15	1.88	2.11	1.72
Reg&cob	1.75	1.60	2.11	1.93	1.75	1.52	2.11	1.72
T98007	1.72	1.62	2.11	1.93	1.72	1.50	2.11	1.72
H6729	2.44	2.30	2.11	1.93	2.44	1.98	2.11	1.72

Y_p : Grain performance of a cultivar under non-stress conditions (normal),

Y_s : grain performance of a cultivar under moderate and drastic salinity stress conditions (5 and 10 Desi Siemens)

\bar{Y}_p : Mean grain performance of all cultivars under non-stress conditions (normal) \bar{Y}_s :Mean grain performance of all cultivars under moderate and drastic salinity stress conditions (5 and 10 Desi Siemens)

In this experiment, using of the SSI indicator showed that the highest tolerance to salinity stress was related to the T98007 cultivar with the lowest values of (0.05 and 0.102) in moderate and drastic stress conditions, respectively. Was among the cultivars studied. In this study, the use of the TOL indicator showed that T98007 cultivar with the lowest value of (0.1 and 0.22) and Hayola 420 cultivar with the highest value of (2.33 and 2.01), respectively had the highest and lowest tolerance to salinity stress among cultivars under both moderate and drastic dehydration conditions (Tables 2 and 3). In evaluating cultivars using the TOL indicator according to the formula of this indicator, a high TOL value indicates more changes in grain performance under stress and non-salinity stress conditions and shows the sensitivity of cultivars to salinity stress conditions. Based on the TOL indicator, more relative tolerance belongs to a cultivar that has a smaller TOL. Thus, the selection for stress tolerance is associated with a minimal difference between Y_s and Y_p . The higher the MP indicator values, the higher the stress tolerance of that cultivar. In the current study, the highest mean performance indicator in both moderate and drastic conditions (salinity of 5 and 10 Desi Siemens) has belonged to Hayola 420 cultivar and H6729 cultivar with mean values of (2.5 and 2.35), and (2.21 and 2.37), respectively; which showed more tolerance to salinity stress conditions among the other evaluated cultivars. Also, the amount of this stress tolerance in drastic stress is estimated slightly higher than that in moderate stress (Tables 2 and 3). Among the cultivars studied in both conditions, Hayola 420 and H6729 cultivars had the highest geometric mean productivity. However, the T98007 cultivar with mean values of 1.67 and 1.61 had the smallest geometric mean productivity (Tables 2 and 3).

According to the results of various researchers, the

best indicator for selecting cultivars is the stress tolerance indicator; Because it can separate cultivars that have a high performance in both stress and non-stress conditions (group A), from two groups of cultivars that have high performance only in non-stress conditions (group B) or only in stress conditions (group C) (Khayatnezhad and Nasehi 2021, Li, Khayatnezhad et al. 2022, Wang, Khayatnezhad et al. 2022). In this study, among the evaluated cultivars, Hayola 420 and H6729 cultivars had the highest values of stress tolerance in both conditions. While T98007 cultivar showed the lowest amount of stress tolerance (Tables 2 and 3). There was a positive and significant correlation between grain performance under normal conditions and moderate and drastic salinity stress (salinity of 5 and 10 Desi Siemens) and MP, GMP, and STI indicators. However, there was a negative and non-significant correlation between grain performance under moderate and drastic salinity stress (salinity of 5 and 10 Desi Siemens) and stress sensitivity indicator (SSI) (Khayatnezhad and Gholamin 2021, Ren and Khayatnezhad 2021, Zhang, Khayatnezhad et al. 2022). In contrast, a positive and non-significant correlation was observed between SSI and TOL indicators (Table 4-12-4-13). At the same time, in both moderate and drastic salinity stress conditions (salinity of 5 and 10 Desi Siemens), very high values of correlation between STI and GMP indicators with MP indicator cause the MP indicator to be hidden in these two indicators, and therefore the cultivars selected based on STI and GMP indicators, mainly had high values of MP indicator.

Table 2- Different indicators of cultivar stress tolerance under control and moderate salinity stress (salinity of 5 Desi Siemens)

<i>Genotypes</i>	<i>Yp</i>	<i>Ys</i>	<i>TOL</i>	<i>MP</i>	<i>GMP</i>	<i>STI</i>	<i>SSI</i>
Talayeh	2.13	1.95	0.18	2.04	2.04	0.93	0.077
Hayola 50	1.88	1.75	0.13	1.81	1.82	0.74	0.063
Hayola 420	2.68	2.33	0.35	2.50	2.51	1.40	0.119
Opera	2.15	1.97	0.18	2.06	2.06	0.95	0.077
Reg&cob	1.75	1.60	0.15	1.67	1.68	0.63	0.078
T98007	1.72	1.62	0.10	1.67	1.67	0.63	0.050
H6729	2.44	2.30	0.14	2.37	2.37	1.26	0.052

Ys: Yield Stress; Yp: Yield productivity; SSI: Stress susceptibility Index; TOL: Tolerance; MP: Mean productivity; GMP: Geometric Mean productivity; STI: Stress Tolerance Index

Table 3: Different indicators of cultivar stress tolerance under control and drastic salinity stress (salinity of 10 Desi Siemens)

<i>Genotypes</i>	<i>Yp</i>	<i>Ys</i>	<i>TOL</i>	<i>MP</i>	<i>GMP</i>	<i>STI</i>	<i>SSI</i>
Talayeh	2.13	1.65	0.48	1.89	1.87	0.79	0.184
Hayola 50	1.88	1.52	0.36	1.70	1.69	0.64	0.156
Hayola 420	2.68	2.01	0.67	2.35	2.32	1.21	0.204
Opera	2.15	1.88	0.27	2.02	2.01	0.91	0.104
Reg&cob	1.75	1.52	0.23	1.64	1.63	0.60	0.107
T98007	1.72	1.50	0.22	1.61	1.61	0.58	0.102
H6729	2.44	1.98	0.46	2.21	2.20	1.09	0.154

Ys: Yield Stress; Yp: Yield productivity; SSI: Stress susceptibility Index; TOL: Tolerance; MP: Mean productivity; GMP: Geometric Mean productivity; STI: Stress Tolerance Index

Table 4: Correlation between different indicators of cultivar stress tolerance under control and moderate salinity stress (salinity of 5 Desi Siemens)

	<i>Ys</i>	<i>Yp</i>	<i>TOL</i>	<i>MP</i>	<i>GMP</i>	<i>STI</i>
<i>Ys</i>	1					
<i>Yp</i>	0.985**	1				
<i>TOL</i>	0.0.422	0.325	1			
<i>MP</i>	0.986**	0.968**	0.421	1		
<i>GMP</i>	0.992**	0.957**	0.402	0.948**	1	
<i>STI</i>	0.995**	0.975**	0.358	0.965**	0.985**	1
<i>SSI</i>	-0.32	-0.365	0.705	-0.3	-0.21	-0.345

*, ** Significant at the probability level of five and one percent

Table 5 :Correlation between different indicators of cultivar stress tolerance under control and drastic salinity stress (salinity of 10 Desi Siemens)

	<i>Ys</i>	<i>Yp</i>	<i>SSI</i>	<i>TOL</i>	<i>MP</i>	<i>GMP</i>
<i>Ys</i>	1					
<i>Yp</i>	0.98**	1				
<i>SSI</i>	0.521	0.52	1			
<i>TOL</i>	0.952**	0.954**	0.61	1		
<i>MP</i>	0.96**	0.945**	0.55	0.95**	1	
<i>GMP</i>	0.985**	0.965*	0.58	0.95**	0.94**	1
<i>STI</i>	0.215	0.115	0.64	0.28	0.31	0.26

*, ** Significant at the probability level of five and one percent

CONCLUSION

In this study, among the evaluated cultivars, Hayola 420 and H6729 cultivars had the highest values of stress tolerance in both conditions. While T98007 cultivar showed the lowest amount of stress tolerance. In both moderate and drastic salinity stress conditions (salinity of 5 and 10 Desi Siemens), very high values of correlation between STI and GMP indicators with MP indicator cause the MP indicator to be hidden in these two indicators, and therefore the cultivars selected based on Both STI and GMP indicators mainly had high values of MP indicator.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declared that present study was performed in absence of any conflict of interest

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This paper was from University project 5849 YBU-5012, 2021.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Arda Karasakal conducted, planned, Analyzed the data, wrote manuscript and interpreted the results and involved in manuscript preparation. All authors read and approved the final version.

Copyrights: © 2022@ author (s).

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License \(CC BY 4.0\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

REFERENCES

- Alizadeh, M. (2021). "Enhanced Imazethapyr Activity on Jimsonweed using natural Additives." *Bioscience Research* 18(4): 3080-3086.
- Alizadeh, M. (2021). "Maize residue management effect on nitrogen fertilizer and canola grain yield." *Bioscience Research* 18(4): 3098-3104.
- Bi, D., D. Chen, M. Khayatnezhad, Z. S. Hashjin, Z. Li and Y. Ma (2021). "MOLECULAR IDENTIFICATION AND GENETIC DIVERSITY IN *Hypericum L.*: A HIGH VALUE MEDICINAL PLANT USING RAPD MARKERS MARKERS." *Genetika-Belgrade* 53(1): 393-405.
- Chen, W., M. Khayatnezhad and N. Sarhadi (2021). "protok gena i struktura populacije kod allochrusa (caryophylloideae, caryophyllaceae) pomocu molekularnih MARKERA." *Genetika-Belgrade* 53(2): 799-812.
- Cheng, X., X. Hong, M. Khayatnezhad and F. Ullah (2021). "Genetic diversity and comparative study of genomic DNA extraction protocols in *Tamarix L.* species." *Caryologia* 74(2): 131-139.
- Gholamin, R. and M. Khayatnezhad (2020). "Assessment of the Correlation between Chlorophyll Content and Drought Resistance in Corn Cultivars (*Zea Mays*)." *Helix* 10(5): 93-97.
- Gholamin, R. and M. Khayatnezhad (2020). "The effect of dry season stretch on Chlorophyll Content and RWC of Wheat Genotypes (*Triticum Durum L.*)." *Bioscience Biotechnology Research Communications* 13(4): 1829-1833.
- Gholamin, R. and M. Khayatnezhad (2020). "Study of Bread Wheat Genotype Physiological and Biochemical Responses to Drought Stress." *Helix* 10(5): 87-92.
- Gholamin, R. and M. Khayatnezhad (2020). "The Study of Path Analysis for Durum Wheat (*Triticum durum Desf.*) Yield Components." *Bioscience Biotechnology Research Communications* 13(4): 2139-2144.
- Gholamin, R. and M. Khayatnezhad (2021). "Impacts of PEG-6000-induced Drought Stress on Chlorophyll Content, Relative Water Content (RWC), and RNA Content of Peanut (*Arachis hypogaea L.*) Roots and Leaves." *Bioscience Research* 18(1): 393-402.
- Guo, L.-N., C. She, D.-B. Kong, S.-L. Yan, Y.-P. Xu, M. Khayatnezhad and F. Gholinia (2021). "Prediction of the effects of climate change on hydroelectric generation, electricity demand, and emissions of greenhouse gases under climatic scenarios and optimized ANN model." *Energy Reports* 7: 5431-5445.
- Hou, R., S. Li, M. Wu, G. Ren, W. Gao, M. Khayatnezhad and F. Gholinia (2021). "Assessing of impact climate parameters on the gap between hydropower supply and electricity demand by RCPs scenarios and optimized ANN by the improved Pathfinder (IPF) algorithm." *Energy* 237.
- Huang, D., J. Wang and M. Khayatnezhad (2021). "Estimation of Actual Evapotranspiration Using Soil Moisture Balance and Remote Sensing." *Iranian Journal of Science and Technology-Transactions of Civil Engineering* 45(4): 2779-2786.
- Jia, Y., M. Khayatnezhad and S. Mehri (2020). "population differentiation and gene flow in *erodium cicutarium*: a potential medicinal plant." *Genetika-Belgrade* 52(3): 1127-1144.
- Karasakal, A. (2021). "Analysis of An Indoor Amount of Environmental Tobacco Smoke (ETS) with Quantified Particulate Matter." *Bioscience Biotechnology Research Communications* 14(2): 708-713.
- Karasakal, A. (2021). "Composted organic manure replacement probability using chemical fertilizer in organic Safflower farming." *Bioscience Research* 18(4): 3115-3124.
- Karasakal, A. (2021). "Gladiolus vegetative, floral, and

- yield characteristics in response to corm size and gibberellic acid." *Bioscience Research* 18(4): 3272-3279.
- Karasakal, A., M. Khayatnezhad and R. Gholamin (2020). "The Durum Wheat Gene Sequence Response Assessment of *Triticum durum* for Dehydration Situations Utilizing Different Indicators of Water Deficiency." *Bioscience Biotechnology Research Communications* 13(4): 2050-2057.
- Karasakal, A., M. Khayatnezhad and R. Gholamin (2020). "The Effect of Saline, Drought, and Presowing Salt Stress on Nitrate Reductase Activity in Varieties of *Eleusine coracana* (Gaertn)." *Bioscience Biotechnology Research Communications* 13(4): 2087-2091.
- Khayatnezhad, M. and R. Gholamin (2020). "A Modern Equation for Determining the Dry-spell Resistance of Crops to Identify Suitable Seeds for the Breeding Program Using Modified Stress Tolerance Index (MSTI)." *Bioscience Biotechnology Research Communications* 13(4): 2114-2117.
- Khayatnezhad, M. and R. Gholamin (2020). "Study of Durum Wheat Genotypes' Response to Drought Stress Conditions." *Helix* 10(5): 98-103.
- Khayatnezhad, M. and R. Gholamin (2021). "The Effect of Drought Stress on the Superoxide Dismutase and Chlorophyll Content in Durum Wheat Genotypes." *Advancements in Life Sciences* 8(2): 119-123.
- Khayatnezhad, M. and R. Gholamin (2021). "Impacts of Drought Stress on Corn Cultivars (*Zea mays* L.) At the Germination Stage." *Bioscience Research* 18(1): 409-414.
- Khayatnezhad, M. and F. Nasehi (2021). "Industrial Pesticides and a Methods Assessment for the Reduction of Associated Risks: A Review." *Advancements in Life Sciences* 8(2): 202-210.
- Li, A., X. Mu, X. Zhao, J. Xu, M. Khayatnezhad and R. Lalehzari (2021). "Developing the non-dimensional framework for water distribution formulation to evaluate sprinkler irrigation." *Irrigation and Drainage* 70(4): 659-667.
- Li, W., M. Khayatnezhad and A. Davarpanah (2022). "Statistical Analysis of Treated Flow-Back Water Measurements: An Industrial Insight for a Shale Reservoir." *Geofluids* 2022.
- Liu, S., Y. Wang, Y. Song, M. Khayatnezhad and A. A. Minaeifar (2021). "Genetic variations and interspecific relationships in *Salvia* (Lamiaceae) using SCoT molecular markers." *Caryologia* 74(3): 77-89.
- Ma, A., J. Ji and M. Khayatnezhad (2021). "Risk-constrained non-probabilistic scheduling of coordinated power-to-gas conversion facility and natural gas storage in power and gas based energy systems." *Sustainable Energy Grids & Networks* 26.
- Ma, S., M. Khayatnezhad and A. A. Minaeifar (2021). "Genetic diversity and relationships among *Hypericum* L. species by ISSR Markers: A high value medicinal plant from Northern of Iran." *Caryologia* 74(1): 97-107.
- Mohammadzadeh, S. (2021). "The presence of Nickel Sulphate in soil influences the synthesis of flavonoids and phenols in garlic (*Allium sativum* L.), changing its allelopathic potential." *Bioscience Research* 18(4): 3125-3131.
- Peng, X., M. Khayatnezhad and L. J. Ghezaljeheidan (2021). "RAPD PROFILING IN DETECTING GENETIC VARIATION IN *Stellaria* L. (Caryophyllaceae)." *Genetika-Belgrade* 53(1): 349-362.
- Radmanesh, M. (2021). "The Impact of Various Planting Timelines on the Makings of Harvested Wheat Grains *Triticum aestivum* of Cultivars in Lorestan Province of Iran." *Bioscience Biotechnology Research Communications* 14(2): 680-685.
- Radmanesh, M. (2021). "Impacts of salinity, *Pseudomonas* and salicylic acid on seed bean physiological properties and yield (*Phaseolus vulgaris*)." *Bioscience Research* 18(4): 3023-3030.
- Ren, J. and M. Khayatnezhad (2021). "Evaluating the stormwater management model to improve urban water allocation system in drought conditions." *Water Supply* 21(4): 1514-1524.
- Shi, B., M. Khayatnezhad and A. Shakoor (2021). "The interacting effects of genetic variation in *Geranium* subg. *Geranium* (Geraniaceae) using scot molecular markers." *Caryologia* 74(3): 141-150.
- Si, X., L. Gao, Y. Song, M. Khayatnezhad and A. A. Minaeifar (2020). "Understanding population differentiation using geographical, morphological and genetic characterization in *Erodium cicutarium*." *Indian Journal of Genetics and Plant Breeding* 80(4): 459-+.
- Sun, Q., D. Lin, M. Khayatnezhad and M. Taghavi (2021). "Investigation of phosphoric acid fuel cell, linear Fresnel solar reflector and Organic Rankine Cycle polygeneration energy system in different climatic conditions." *Process Safety and Environmental Protection* 147: 993-1008.
- Sun, X. and M. Khayatnezhad (2021). "Fuzzy-probabilistic modeling the flood characteristics using bivariate frequency analysis and alpha-cut decomposition." *Water Supply* 21(8): 4391-4403.
- Tao, Z., Z. Cui, J. Yu and M. Khayatnezhad (2022). "Finite Difference Modelings of Groundwater Flow for Constructing Artificial Recharge Structures." *Iranian Journal of Science and Technology-Transactions of Civil Engineering* 46(2): 1503-1514.
- Wang, C., Y. Shang and M. Khayatnezhad (2021). "Fuzzy Stress-based Modeling for Probabilistic Irrigation Planning Using Copula-NSPSO." *Water Resources Management* 35(14): 4943-4959.
- Wang, H., M. Khayatnezhad and N. Youssefi (2022). "Using an optimized soil and water assessment tool by deep belief networks to evaluate the impact of

- land use and climate change on water resources." *Concurrency and Computation-Practice & Experience* 34(10).
- Wang, J., Q. Ye, C. Wang, T. Zhang, X. Shi, M. Khayatnezhad and A. Shakoor (2021). "Palynological analysis of genus *Geranium* (Geraniaceae) and its systematic implications using scanning electron microscopy." *Caryologia* 74(3): 31-43.
- Wang, S., J. Ma, W. Li, M. Khayatnezhad and B. D. Rouyendegh (2022). "An optimal configuration for hybrid SOFC, gas turbine, and Proton Exchange Membrane Electrolyzer using a developed Aquila Optimizer." *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 47(14): 8943-8955.
- Xu, Y.-P., P. Ouyang, S.-M. Xing, L.-Y. Qi, M. Khayatnezhad and H. Jafari (2021). "Optimal structure design of a PV/FC HRES using amended Water Strider Algorithm." *Energy Reports* 7: 2057-2067.
- Yin, J., M. Khayatnezhad and A. Shakoor (2021). "EVALUATION OF GENETIC DIVERSITY IN *Geranium* (Geraniaceae) USING RAPD MARKER." *Genetika-Belgrade* 53(1): 363-378.
- Zhang, H., M. Khayatnezhad and A. Davarpanah (2021). "Experimental investigation on the application of carbon dioxide adsorption for a shale reservoir." *Energy Science & Engineering* 9(11): 2165-2176.
- Zhang, J., M. Khayatnezhad and N. Ghadimi (2022). "Optimal model evaluation of the proton-exchange membrane fuel cells based on deep learning and modified African Vulture Optimization Algorithm." *Energy Sources Part a-Recovery Utilization and Environmental Effects* 44(1): 287-305.
- Zhao, Y., H. Wang, W. Liang, M. Khayatnezhad and Faisal (2021). "GENETIC DIVERSITY AND RELATIONSHIPS AMONG *SALVIA* SPECIES BY ISSR MARKERS." *Genetika-Belgrade* 53(2): 559-574.
- Zheng, R., S. Zhao, M. Khayatnezhad and S. A. Shah (2021). "Comparative study and genetic diversity in *Salvia* (Lamiaceae) using RAPD Molecular Markers." *Caryologia* 74(2): 45-56.
- Zhu, K., L. Liu, S. Li, B. Li, M. Khayatnezhad and A. Shakoor (2021). "Morphological method and molecular marker determine genetic diversity and population structure in *Allochrysa*." *Caryologia* 74(2): 121-130.
- Zhu, P., H. Saadati and M. Khayatnezhad (2021). "Application of probability decision system and particle swarm optimization for improving soil moisture content." *Water Supply* 21(8): 4145-4152.