



Available online freely at [www.isisn.org](http://www.isisn.org)

# Bioscience Research

Print ISSN: 1811-9506 Online ISSN: 2218-3973

Journal by Innovative Scientific Information & Services Network



RESEARCH ARTICLE

BIOSCIENCE RESEARCH,201815(2):1103-1112.

OPEN ACCESS

## Effect of potassium foliar fertilization, level and time of nitrogen application on growth and yield of Egyptian cotton

Elayan Sohair E.D.<sup>1</sup>; Amany M. Abdallah<sup>1</sup>; E.A.O. Makram<sup>2</sup>; A.A.A. Darwish<sup>2</sup> and Asmaa S. Abd El-Hady\*<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Agronomy Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University, El-Gamaa Street, Giza, **Egypt**

<sup>2</sup>Cotton Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Ministry of Agriculture and Land Reclamation, Giza, **Egypt**

\*Correspondence: [asmahady1@gmail.com](mailto:asmahady1@gmail.com) Accepted: 18May2018 Published online: 26 June. 2018

This investigation was carried out in Sids Agricultural Research station, ARC at Beni-Suef Governorate, Middle Egypt region, Egypt, for two season (2013 and 2014) the study aimed to find out the effect of one or two foliar applications of potassium as potassium sulfate (1%) given at commence of flowering (once) and two weeks later (twice). These two treatments were allocated in two main plots which were split in four first order sub splits which were devoted for two N levels (60 and 75 kg/fed of N) and then into four second order sub plots which included four modes of N addition as two partly split doses given before the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> irrigation (control), the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> irrigation or as three partly split doses before the 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> irrigation or before the 2<sup>nd</sup>, 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> irrigation. The obtained results could be summarized as follows: two foliar sprays of potassium improved the growth of cotton plants as was expressed in significant increase in plant height, number of open bolls/plant, but not in boll weight and finally the seed cotton yield/fed with significant increase in earliness. The increase of the level of N from 60 to 75 kg/fed didn't affect any significant increase in growth attributes or the seed cotton yield/fed or any of its components except seed index. Splitting N partly in 3 splits given before the 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> irrigation improved cotton plant growth and seed cotton yield/ fed as well as, earliness than the control. The combination of two foliar potassium sprays with the 60 kg/fed of N level when given in 3 partly split before the 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> irrigation was the best combination which improved cotton plants growth and finally the seed cotton yield/fed. The fiber properties except fiber strength in the first season were not significantly affected by any of the factors under study or their first and second order interactions in both seasons.

**Keywords:** *Gossypium barbadense* L., foliar spraying, potassium, nitrogen, growth, fiber quality.

### INTRODUCTION

Cotton is a unique crop plant; it is one of the most important commercial fiber crops popularly known as the "White Gold". Raising cotton productivity and quality can be achieved through planting the best selected cultivars optimizing the cultural practices. Fertilization is considered the most important factor among the different critical factors which influence growth, yield and quality of

cotton. Cotton plant with its indeterminate type of growth adds more confusing trends due to the increase of vegetative growth on the expense of reproductive growth if the proper balance between fertilizer added nutrients is not maintained. Kumar et al., (2011) and Zakaria et al., (2011) indicated the more efficient use of potassium by cotton plants when foliar added during the reproductive stage. Cotton fiber quality didn't respond to K

fertilization (Abd El-Gayed et al., 2014). Moreover the increase of N fertilization was reported to increase the seed cotton yield (Abou-Zaid et al., 2002). The increase of yield was attributed to the significant increase of the number of bolls/plant and boll weight (Hamoda et al., 2014). Excess N addition promotes vegetative growth oftenly at the expense of reproductive development, particularly at bloom or at early boll stage (Delwar et al., 2000 and Howard et al., 2001). The efficiency of N fertilization was increased through splitting into two or more splits given during the cotton plant growth (Ismail et al., 2000). Potassium is one of the essential macronutrients and the most abundantly absorbed cation in higher plants. It plays an important role in growth and development of plants. It activates enzymes, maintains cell turgor, enhances photosynthesis, reduces respiration, and helps in translocation of photosynthates to the different plant sinks (Moussa and Amira, 2017). Spraying cotton plants with K had a positive effect on cotton productivity Blais et al., (2009) and Zakaria et al., (2011). K improves crop quality (Pettigrew, 2008). Nitrogen is a major limiting nutrient element for effective production of cotton several available information of N requirements of cotton plant showed positive significant response to moderate rates of N application. Excess N promotes vegetative development often at the expense of reproductive development, especially at bloom or at early boll stage (Howard et al., 2001). With splitting of nitrogen resulted in more number of bolls/plant ultimately resulting into higher seed cotton yield (Pandagale et al., 2018).

The aim of the current study was to determine the effect of foliar potassium and nitrogen fertilizer split application timing and proportion at different times on growth, productivity and fiber quality of Egyptian cotton (Giza 95) in two growing seasons (2013 and 2014).

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

This investigation was carried out in Sids Agricultural Research Station, Beni-Suef Governorate, during 2013 and 2014 seasons using Egyptian cotton, *Gossypium barbadense* L. cv. Giza 95 cultivar [Tri-hybrid (Giza 83x(Giza 75xline 5844)xGiza 80)]. The experimental design was a split-split plot based on randomized complete block design with four replications. The main plot consisted of potassium foliar application (one or two spraying), the nitrogen levels (60 or 75 kg/fed) were allocated in the 1<sup>st</sup> order sub plots while time of adding nitrogen fertilizer was

assigned to 2<sup>nd</sup> order sub plots as D1, D2, D3 and D4. Each sub plots consisted of 5 ridges with 4 meters in length and 65 cm a part. The nitrogen fertilizer in the form of ammonium nitrate (33.5 % N) at two levels (60 and 75 kg/fed) divided into two or three equal doses applied as aforementioned at before second and third irrigation (D1), before second and fourth irrigation (D2), before 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> irrigation (D3) and before 2<sup>nd</sup>, 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> irrigation (D4). Potassium was added as foliar spraying of (1%) potassium sulfate (48% K<sub>2</sub>O) the spraying time was beginning of flowering and two weeks later. Phosphorous at rate of 22.5 kg of P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/fed in the form of ordinary super phosphate (15.5 % P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>) was applied to all plots preplanting. Sowing dates were 25 and 30 of March in the first and second seasons, respectively. The other cultural practices were carried out as recommended for the conventional cotton field in the district. Soil samples were taken before planting cotton to determine some soil properties according to the methods of Page et al. (1982). Tables 1 and 2 shows the different soil properties and some meteorological data of experimental location in respective order. At harvest, ten representative cotton plants were taken at random from each sub-sub plot to determine the analysis.

### Growth and earliness characters.

Plant height (cm), Internode length (cm), position of the first sympodial branches node estimated as number of nodes up to first fruiting branch and earliness percentage. Percentage of seed cotton yield at the first pick to the total seed cotton yield/plot of the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> pick.

### Yield and yield components.

Number of sympodial branches/plant, number of open bolls/plant, boll weight (g): as average of 50 random bolls, seed cotton yield/feddan (kentar =157.5 kg) and seed index (weight of 100 seeds) taken at random from each cotton sample (g).

### Fiber properties.

The fiber properties were measured by using High Volume Instrument according to (A.S.T.M.D-46050-1986). Fiber length at Upper half means (U.H.M.), Fiber uniformity index %. (U.I), fiber strength in g/tex and fiber fineness was expressed as micronaire reading measured by (HVI), all fiber properties were performed under standard atmosphere of temperature (70±2°F) and relative humidity (65±2%) at the laboratories of the Cotton

Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Giza.

### Statistical analysis.

The obtained data were subjected to proper statistical analysis according to the procedure described by Snedecor and Cochran (1980). The least significant differences test (LSD) at significances of 0.05 levels was used to verify the significance of differences among treatment means and the interactions between treatments.

## RESULTS

The Night temperature ranged from 14°C to 24°C whereas day temperature varied from 26°C to 33°C in first season and from 14°C to 25°C and from 24°C to 34°C in the second season, in respective order during the growing season from March to September (Table 1). This seasonal thermo periodicity favours the growth of cotton plants. Data in table (2) regarding the soil physical and chemical properties clearly indicate that the soil has a clay loam texture with poor content of organic matter (1.82 and 1.92% in the two seasons respectively) this content in turn was reflected in poor content of available N (20 and 22 ppm N respectively) but however high content of available phosphorus (16 and 20 ppm in the two seasons respectively) in the soil had a moderate content of available K (188 and 208ppm), respectively. The soil is normal from the salinity point of view with noticeable content of calcium carbonate 1.82 and 1.90 %, respectively and alkalinity pH values of 7.76 and 7.89 in the two seasons respectively.

### Growth and earliness characters.

Data in Table (3) revealed that, all growth traits under study were significantly affected by spraying cotton plant with potassium sulphate, rate of and time of nitrogen application where the use of two spraying of potassium and 75 kg N/fed before the 2<sup>nd</sup>, 4<sup>th</sup> or 5<sup>th</sup> irrigation induced the maximum averages of plant height, internode length and earliness in both seasons. Position of 1<sup>st</sup> sympodial node significantly affected by foliar K fertilizer only may be due to level of available phosphorus in soil (16 and 20 ppm in two seasons, respectively) whereas P is known to be involved in photosynthesis and plant metabolism. Also, P regulates many enzymic processes and acts as an activator many enzymes (Helal and Amira, 2017).

The promotive effect of increasing potassium fertilization level on cotton growth could be mainly

due to the fact that potassium is an essential nutrient that affects most of biochemical and physiological processes that influence plant growth and metabolism (Seadh et al., 2012). As for nitrogen level, the obtained data showed, that growth characters and earliness significantly responded to the increase of nitrogen level. This increase from 60 to 75 kg N/fed enhanced cotton growth, i.e. plant height and internode length in both seasons, as nitrogen has many functions in plant life cycle (Aslam et al., 2013). On the other hand, the increase of N level up to 75 kg/fed significantly delayed maturity and hence reduced the averages of earliness percentage properly due to a possible extension in the period of vegetative plant growth and delays the onset of fruiting stage (Ismail et al., 2000). Concerning the time of adding nitrogen, the results indicate that added nitrogen three times, before 2<sup>nd</sup>, 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> irrigations gave the highest averages of plant height and internode length, while added N at 3 times, before 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> irrigation gave the highest average of earliness percentage. Considering the interaction between factors under study in Table (4), the obtained results revealed that cotton growth characters were significantly affected by the interaction between treatments or among them. In general, the highest growth parameters were obtained for cotton plants sprayed with potassium twice and fertilized with 75 kg N/fed three times before 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> or 5<sup>th</sup> irrigations. Regarding earliness, the highest average was obtained from two spraying with K and adding of 75 kg N/fed in 1<sup>st</sup> season (80.99%) or 60 kg/fed of N (62.80%) in 2<sup>nd</sup> season. The interaction between foliar K fertilizer and time of application of N, the highest earliness average was obtained from spraying two sprays and addition of N in 3 equal doses at 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> irrigation (82.14 and 64.04% in both seasons respectively). Concerning the interaction between N fertilizer level and time of its application, the highest earliness average was obtained for 60kg N/fed giving in 3 equal doses before 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> irrigation (81.46 and 63.24% in both seasons, respectively). In Table (5), spraying cotton plant with two sprays of potassium and use of 75 kg N/fed before 2<sup>nd</sup>, 4<sup>th</sup>, 5<sup>th</sup> irrigation induced the maximum plant height 132.8 and 138.0 cm, internode length 6.1 and 6.7 cm in both seasons respectively, but the best value of position of 1<sup>st</sup> sympodial branches was obtained from K<sub>1</sub>N<sub>1</sub>D<sub>3</sub> (one spraying of K+60 kg N before 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> irrigation) 8.25 and 7.88 in both seasons respectively.

**Table 1: Meteorological data for the study period in 2013 and 2014 seasons at Sids Agricultural Research Station.**

Month	2013			2014		
	Min.(°C)	Max.(°C)	Mean	Min.(°C)	Max.(°C)	Mean
March	14	26	20	14	24	19
April	16	27	21	16	28	22
May	19	34	26	20	31	26
June	22	35	29	22	34	28
July	23	33	28	23	34	29
August	24	35	29	25	35	30
Sept.	22	33	27	24	34	29

Data obtained from the central laboratory for agriculture climate, A.R.C. Egypt.

**Table (2): Soil properties of the experimental site at 0.0-30 cm depth.**

Properties	Seasons	
	2013	2014
PH (in 1:2.5 soil-water suspension)	7.76	7.89
EC (mmohs)/cm (in1:5 soil water extraction).	1.1	1.1
Organic matter	1.82	1.90
CaCO <sub>3</sub> %	2.8	3.6
Available N (ppm)	20	22
Available P (ppm)	16	20
Available K (ppm)	188	208
Soil texture	Clay- loam	

**Table (3): Effects of foliar K fertilizer, rate of N fertilizer and its time of application on some cotton growth characters in 2013 and 2014 seasons.**

Treatments	Plant height (cm)		Internode length (cm)		1 st sym.		Earliness (%)	
	2013	2014	2013	2014	2013	2014	2013	2014
K fertilizer One spray Two spray	124.3	128.8	5.3	5.7	8.41	8.12	79.59	58.38
	128.1	132.3	5.5	6.0	8.52	8.31	80.87	61.01
F test	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.
Rate of N fertilizer 60 kg/fed 75 kg/fed	123.4	127.9	5.3	5.7	8.48	8.19	80.43	61.56
	128.9	133.2	5.6	6.0	8.45	8.23	80.03	57.82
F test	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	N.S	N.S	Sig.	Sig.
Time of application of N fertilizer D <sub>1</sub> D <sub>2</sub> D <sub>3</sub> D <sub>4</sub>	122.7	127.3	5.3	5.7	8.58	8.34	79.86	57.53
	124.9	129.6	5.4	5.7	8.34	8.11	80.15	58.04
	128.3	131.5	5.4	5.7	8.38	8.01	81.18	61.72
	128.7	133.8	5.6	6.2	8.58	8.40	79.69	61.48
	LSD 0.05	1.1	1.1	0.2	0.2	N.S	N.S	1.1

Whereas D<sub>1</sub>: Before 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> irrigations (control), D<sub>2</sub>: Before 2<sup>nd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> irrigations, D<sub>3</sub>: Before 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> irrigations and D<sub>4</sub>: Before 2<sup>nd</sup>, 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> irrigations.

**Table 4: The effect of first order interaction between foliar K, N fertilizer level, number and dates of adding N fertilizer on some growth and earliness characters (AxB, AxC and BxC) in two seasons.**

Treatments		Plant height (cm)		Internode length (cm)		1 <sup>st</sup> sympodium		Earliness (%)	
		2013	2014	2013	2014	2013	2014	2013	2014
Foliar K	Rate of N fertilizer								
One spray	60kg	121.6	126.4	5.2	5.5	8.44	8.10	80.13	60.33
	75kg	126.97	131.2	5.4	5.8	8.38	8.13	79.59	56.42
Two spray	60kg	125.3	129.4	5.3	5.8	8.53	8.29	80.73	62.80
	75kg	130.8	135.2	5.7	6.2	8.52	8.34	80.99	59.21
Foliar K	Dates of adding N								
One spray	D <sub>1</sub>	120.0	126.2	5.2	5.7	8.59	8.34	80.03	55.37
	D <sub>2</sub>	123.6	127.8	5.4	5.5	8.31	8.05	79.10	56.15
	D <sub>3</sub>	127.1	130.4	5.2	5.6	8.31	7.89	80.23	59.40
	D <sub>4</sub>	126.4	130.9	5.5	5.9	8.44	8.19	78.99	62.59
Two sprays	D <sub>1</sub>	125.4	128.4	5.4	5.7	8.56	8.34	79.70	59.68
	D <sub>2</sub>	126.3	131.5	5.4	5.8	8.36	8.16	81.20	59.93
	D <sub>3</sub>	129.4	132.6	5.6	5.9	8.44	8.14	82.14	64.04
	D <sub>4</sub>	131.1	136.7	5.8	6.4	8.73	8.61	80.40	60.36
Rate of N fertilizer	Dates of adding N								
60kg	D <sub>1</sub>	119.3	124.8	5.2	5.6	8.71	8.44	80.75	59.61
	D <sub>2</sub>	121.9	126.1	5.3	5.6	8.40	8.13	79.66	60.07
	D <sub>3</sub>	125.9	129.2	5.1	5.5	8.28	7.91	81.46	63.24
	D <sub>4</sub>	126.6	131.4	5.5	5.9	8.55	8.30	79.83	63.35
75kg	D <sub>1</sub>	126.2	129.8	5.4	5.8	8.44	8.24	78.98	55.44
	D <sub>2</sub>	127.9	133.1	5.5	5.8	8.28	8.09	80.64	56.01
	D <sub>3</sub>	130.6	133.8	5.6	5.9	8.48	8.11	80.90	55.44
	D <sub>4</sub>	130.8	136.1	5.8	6.4	8.61	8.50	79.56	59.61
LSD <sub>0.05</sub> AB		1.1	1.1	0.2	0.2	N.S	N.S	1.1	2.74
LSD <sub>0.05</sub> AC		1.5	1.5	0.2	0.3	0.13	0.61	1.55	3.88
LSD <sub>0.05</sub> BC		1.5	1.5	0.2	0.3	0.19	0.61	1.55	3.88

Whereas D<sub>1</sub>: Before 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> irrigations (control), D<sub>2</sub>: Before 2<sup>nd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> irrigations, D<sub>3</sub>: Before 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> irrigations and D<sub>4</sub>: Before 2<sup>nd</sup>, 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> irrigations.

**Table 5: Effect of interaction among foliar K, N fertilizer, number and dates of adding N fertilizer (AxBxC) in both seasons.**

Treatments			Plant height (cm)		Internode length(cm)		1 <sup>st</sup> Sympodium		Earliness (%)	
Foliar K fertilizer <sup>(A)</sup> (Kg/fed)	Rate of N Fertilizer <sup>(B)</sup> (Kg/fed)	Dates of adding N fertilizer <sup>(C)</sup>	2013	2014	2013	2014	2013	2014	2013	2014
			One spray	60	D <sub>1</sub>	116.0	124.1	5.0	5.7	8.70
D <sub>2</sub>	120.9	124.8			5.4	5.4	8.35	8.05	78.88	56.50
D <sub>3</sub>	125.6	129.2			5.0	5.5	8.25	7.88	81.28	62.19
D <sub>4</sub>	123.9	127.5			5.5	5.6	8.43	8.13	79.63	65.26
75	D <sub>1</sub>	124.0		128.3	5.4	5.7	8.48	8.33	79.33	53.35
	D <sub>2</sub>	126.4		130.8	5.5	5.6	8.28	8.05	79.33	55.80
	D <sub>3</sub>	128.6		131.6	5.3	5.6	8.33	7.90	79.18	56.61
	D <sub>4</sub>	128.9		134.3	5.4	6.2	8.45	8.25	78.35	59.80
Two spray	60	D <sub>1</sub>	122.5	125.4	5.3	5.5	8.73	8.53	80.78	61.83
		D <sub>2</sub>	123.0	127.5	5.3	5.7	8.45	8.20	80.45	63.64
		D <sub>3</sub>	126.3	129.3	5.2	5.6	8.30	7.95	82.65	64.29
		D <sub>4</sub>	129.4	135.4	5.4	6.2	8.68	8.48	80.03	61.43
	75	D <sub>1</sub>	128.4	131.4	5.4	5.9	8.40	8.15	78.63	57.54
		D <sub>2</sub>	129.5	135.5	5.5	5.9	8.28	8.13	81.95	56.23
		D <sub>3</sub>	132.6	136.0	6.0	6.2	8.63	8.33	81.63	63.80
		D <sub>4</sub>	132.8	138.0	6.1	6.7	8.78	8.75	80.78	59.30
LSD 0.05			2.2	2.2	0.3	0.4	0.27	0.86	2.19	5.49

Whereas D<sub>1</sub>: Before 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> irrigations (control), D<sub>2</sub>: Before 2<sup>nd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> irrigations, D<sub>3</sub>: Before 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> irrigations and D<sub>4</sub>: Before 2<sup>nd</sup>, 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> irrigations.

**Table (6): Effect of foliar K, rate of N fertilizer, time of application of nitrogen on some yield and yield components in 2013 and 2014 seasons.**

Treatments	Number of open bolls/plant		Boll weight (g)		Number of fruiting branches/plant		Seed index (g)		Seed cotton Yield /fed (kentar)	
	2013	2014	2013	2014	2013	2014	2013	2014	2013	2014
K fertilizer One spray	17.9	13.7	2.64	2.61	14.4	13.2	9.8	8.8	13.5	13.2
	19.5	15.5	2.52	2.53	14.0	12.8	10.2	9.0	14.1	13.5
Two sprays	19.5	15.5	2.52	2.53	14.0	12.8	10.2	9.0	14.1	13.5
F test	Sig.	Sig.	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.
Rate of N fertilizer 60 kg/fed	18.9	15.0	2.56	2.58	14.35	13.08	9.8	8.8	13.8	13.4
	18.5	14.3	2.59	2.56	14.04	12.93	10.2	9.0	13.8	13.3
F test	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	Sig.	Sig.	N.S	N.S
Time of application of N fertilizer D <sub>1</sub>	18.2	13.8	2.60	2.58	13.9	12.9	9.7	8.7	13.4	13.2
	19.4	14.9	2.52	2.59	14.4	13.1	9.9	8.9	14.0	13.5
D <sub>2</sub>	19.4	14.9	2.52	2.59	14.4	13.1	9.9	8.9	14.0	13.5
D <sub>3</sub>	19.9	16.5	2.53	2.57	14.8	13.5	10.2	9.0	14.4	13.9
D <sub>4</sub>	17.4	13.3	2.67	2.56	13.7	12.6	10.2	9.0	13.4	12.9
LSD 0.05	0.9	0.7	N.S	N.S	0.3	0.6	0.3	0.2	0.6	0.6

Whereas D<sub>1</sub>: Before 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> irrigations (control), D<sub>2</sub>: Before 2<sup>nd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> irrigations, D<sub>3</sub>: Before 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> irrigations and D<sub>4</sub>: Before 2<sup>nd</sup>, 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> irrigations.

Yield and yield components. Data in Table (6) showed that, two sprays of potassium instead of one increased significantly no. of open bolls/plant, seed index and seed cotton yield/fed. The increase of the number of bolls/plant might refer to more dry matter partitioning and hence more photosynthates available for more fruiting sites as expressed here in the number of open bolls/plant due to spraying K twice at commence of flowering and two weeks later. The effect of increasing potassium level on number open bolls could be due to its effect on growth parameters (Abd El-Mohsen and Ahmed, 2015). Data in Table (6) represent the effect of potassium and nitrogen fertilization on cotton yields, namely, seed cotton yield (kentar/fed), and seed index. Where two K sprays treatment gave the highest average of yield and seed index. The relative increase of yield and seed index caused by  $K_2$  over  $K_1$  reached to 4.4 and 4.1% in the first season, respectively. The corresponding values in the second season were 2.2 and 2.2% in the above mentioned order. The superiority of increasing potassium level on cotton yield is mainly due to its effect on growth and yield components (Abd El-Gayed et al., 2014). Increasing N levels from 60 to 75 kg/fed of N didn't affect most of characters under study except of seed index (Hutchinson et al., 1995). The effect of nitrogen level on seed cotton yield/fed is in accordance with its effect on yield components (Nafiu et al., 2017). These results are similar to those by Howard et al. (2001) and Nafiu et al., (2017). With regard to the time of nitrogen application, the results revealed that all studied yield components were significantly affected by number and dates of nitrogen application, except boll weight where highest averages of, open boll, number of fruiting branches/plant, seed index and seed cotton yield/fed were produced when nitrogen was added as three equal doses, before 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> irrigation ( $D_3$ ). However,  $D_4$  treatment recorded the lowest averages of number of open bolls/plant, number of fruiting branches/plant and seed cotton yield in both seasons where  $D_1$  treatment recorded the minimum seed index in both seasons. The seed cotton yield caused by  $D_3$  treatment surpassed that  $D_1$  by about 7.5 and 5.3% in the two seasons, respectively. The promotive effect of  $D_3$  on yield parameters is due to its effect on growth and yield components (Abd El-Gayed et al., 2014). Concerning the interaction between and among treatments in the results in Tables (7 and 8) show that cotton yield

components were significantly affected by the interaction between treatments. In general, plants treated with two sprays of potassium and fertilized with 75 or 60 kg/fed of N as three equal doses, before second, third and fifth irrigations yielded the highest yield components.

On the other hands, plants received one K spray under  $N_1$  or  $N_2$  added two times ( $D_1$ ) exerted the lowest average of yield components. With regard to the interaction between any two factors or among the three ones in Table (8), the results show that the highest average of yield parameters were obtained for plants fertilized with two K sprays+ $N_1$ (60kg/fed) or  $N_2$  (75kg/fed) added three times, before 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> irrigation. On the other hand, the plants treated with  $K_1$ + $N_1$  or  $N_2$  added two times ( $D_4$ ) recorded the minimum yield parameters. Finally the failure of the increase of N level from 60 to 75 kg/fed of N to increase the seed cotton yield/fed and its main yield components could be attributed the excessive vegetative growth and a possible shading effect which might have had reflected more elongation of cotton plant, on the expense of the number of fruiting sites. This effect was finally expressed in a significant decrease of earliness (Table 3). Shading always increases the proportion of the invisible radiation which is known to have an elongating effect on crop plants. Portioning more photosynthesis to plant elongation might have had decreased the amount of photosynthates available for more fruit (boll) set. As expressed herein in the number of open bolls/plant. The significance of N level with its time of application might has had mastered and decreased its main effect on seed cotton yield.

#### Fiber properties.

Data in Table (9) show that all fiber properties under study were not significantly affected by all treatments under study except fiber strength in the first season where the best value was recorded due addition of N partly before the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> irrigation. All first and second order interactions between treatments didn't significantly affect any of the technology traits under study. These results are in accordance with those obtained by Ismail et al. (2000) and Abd El-Gayed et al., (2014). These results revealed that each factor was insignificantly acting independently (Hamoda et al., 2014)), these results may be due to that cotton fiber quality is genetically controlled rather than environmental conditions (Subhan et al., 2001).

**Table (7): Effect of first order interaction between foliar K, N fertilizer, number and dates of adding N fertilizer on yield and its components in 2013 and 2014 seasons.**

Treatments		Number of open bolls/plant		Boll weight (g)		Number of fruiting branches		Seed index (g)		Yield/ fed (kentar)	
		2013	2014	2013	2014	2013	2014	2013	2014	2013	2014
One spray	60 kg/fed	17.6	14.2	2.67	2.60	17.6	14.2	9.6	8.8	13.6	13.3
	75 kg/fed	18.2	13.3	2.61	2.62	18.2	13.3	10.0	10.0	13.4	13.1
Two spray	60 kg/fed	19.5	15.8	2.52	2.57	19.5	15.8	9.9	9.9	14.1	13.6
	75 kg/fed	19.6	15.3	2.51	2.50	19.6	15.3	10.4	10.4	14.0	13.5
One spray	D <sub>1</sub>	17.7	13.5	2.69	2.66	13.8	12.8	9.6	8.8	13.4	13.1
	D <sub>2</sub>	18.7	14.5	2.56	2.63	14.6	13.2	9.8	8.8	13.9	13.4
	D <sub>3</sub>	19.0	14.6	2.58	2.58	15.2	14.0	10.0	8.9	14.0	13.6
	D <sub>4</sub>	16.2	12.3	2.74	2.58	14.0	12.8	10.0	8.9	12.9	12.6
Two spray	D <sub>1</sub>	18.7	14.1	2.51	2.51	14.0	12.9	9.8	8.8	13.4	13.3
	D <sub>2</sub>	20.1	15.4	2.48	2.55	14.3	12.9	10.1	9.0	14.2	13.5
	D <sub>3</sub>	20.8	18.3	2.48	2.55	14.4	13.1	10.3	9.1	14.8	14.2
	D <sub>4</sub>	18.6	14.3	2.60	2.53	13.4	12.4	10.5	9.1	13.8	13.2
60 kg/fed	D <sub>1</sub>	17.8	14.2	2.61	2.61	14.0	12.8	9.5	8.7	13.3	13.3
	D <sub>2</sub>	19.3	14.1	2.49	2.63	14.2	12.9	9.6	8.7	13.8	13.3
	D <sub>3</sub>	20.7	17.6	2.48	2.54	15.2	13.9	10.0	8.9	14.8	14.0
	D <sub>4</sub>	18.0	13.9	2.68	2.55	14.0	12.8	10.0	8.9	13.5	13.0
75 kg/fed	D <sub>1</sub>	18.6	13.4	2.59	2.54	13.8	12.9	9.9	8.9	13.6	13.0
	D <sub>2</sub>	19.6	15.8	2.55	2.55	14.7	13.3	10.3	9.1	14.3	13.6
	D <sub>3</sub>	19.1	15.3	2.58	2.59	14.4	13.2	10.3	9.0	14.0	13.7
	D <sub>4</sub>	16.9	12.8	2.66	2.56	13.4	12.4	10.4	9.2	13.2	12.9
LSD 0.05 AB		0.9	0.7	0.11	0.71	0.9	0.7	0.3	0.3	0.6	N.S
LSD 0.05 AC		1.2	0.9	0.16	0.1	0.4	0.9	0.5	N.S	0.9	0.8
LSD 0.05 BC		1.2	0.9	0.16	N.S	0.4	0.9	0.5	0.4	0.9	0.8

Whereas D<sub>1</sub>: Before 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> irrigations (control), D<sub>2</sub>: Before 2<sup>nd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> irrigations, D<sub>3</sub>: Before 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> irrigations and D<sub>4</sub>: Before 2<sup>nd</sup>, 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> irrigations

**Table (8): Effect of the interaction among foliar K, N fertilizer, number and dates of adding N fertilizer on some yield components.(A×B×C).**

Treatments			No of open bolls/plant		Boll weight (g)		No. of fruiting branches/plant		Seed index (g)		See cotton yield/fed (kentar)	
K fertilizer	N fertilizer	Dates of adding N fertilizer	2013	2014	2013	2014	2013	2014	2013	2014	2013	2014
One spray	60 kg/fed	D <sub>1</sub>	17.1	14.2	2.7	2.61	13.9	12.60	9.4	8.6	13.2	13.3
		D <sub>2</sub>	18.7	13.3	2.53	2.71	14.3	13.18	9.6	8.7	13.6	13.3
		D <sub>3</sub>	20.5	16.5	2.50	2.51	15.5	14.25	9.9	8.9	14.6	13.9
		D <sub>4</sub>	16.7	12.7	2.73	2.56	14.4	13.18	9.7	8.9	12.9	12.7
	75 kg/fed	D <sub>1</sub>	18.3	12.8	2.68	2.68	13.7	12.93	9.8	8.8	13.7	12.8
		D <sub>2</sub>	18.8	15.6	2.60	2.55	14.9	13.25	10.0	8.9	14.2	13.6
		D <sub>3</sub>	17.6	12.8	2.65	2.65	15.0	13.65	10.1	8.9	13.4	13.3
		D <sub>4</sub>	<b>15.7</b>	<b>12.0</b>	2.75	2.60	13.6	12.38	10.2	9.0	12.8	12.6
Two spray	60 kg/fed	D <sub>1</sub>	18.6	14.2	2.53	2.61	14.2	13.05	9.6	8.7	13.3	13.2
		D <sub>2</sub>	19.9	14.9	2.45	2.55	14.1	12.58	9.7	8.7	13.9	13.4
		D <sub>3</sub>	20.9	18.8	2.45	2.58	15.0	13.45	10.0	8.9	14.9	14.3
		D <sub>4</sub>	19.2	15.2	2.63	2.54	13.5	12.35	10.3	9.0	14.2	13.4
	75 kg/fed	D <sub>1</sub>	18.8	13.9	2.50	2.41	13.9	12.83	10.1	8.9	13.5	13.3
		D <sub>2</sub>	20.4	15.9	2.50	2.55	14.4	13.28	10.6	9.2	14.4	13.6
		D <sub>3</sub>	20.7	17.8	2.50	2.53	13.8	12.75	10.6	9.2	14.6	14.0
		D <sub>4</sub>	18.1	13.5	2.58	2.52	13.2	12.40	10.6	9.2	13.5	13.1



LSD <sub>0.05</sub>	1.7	1.3	0.23	0.14	0.5	1.23	0.7	0.5	1.2	1.2
---------------------	-----	-----	------	------	-----	------	-----	-----	-----	-----

Whereas **D<sub>1</sub>**: Before 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> irrigations (control), **D<sub>2</sub>**: Before 2<sup>nd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> irrigations, **D<sub>3</sub>**: Before 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> irrigations and **D<sub>4</sub>**: Before 2<sup>nd</sup>, 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> irrigations.

**Table 9: Effect of foliar K, nitrogen fertilizer, number and dates of adding nitrogen fertilizer on some fiber properties (A, B and C).**

Treatments	Fiber length (mm)		Uniformity index (%)		Fiber strength (g/tex)		Micronaire reading	
	2013	2014	2013	2014	2013	2014	2013	2014
<b>K fertilizer</b> <b>One time</b>	29.0	28.8	83.1	81.9	9.7	9.8	3.7	3.7
	28.7	29.4	82.4	81.9	9.9	9.9	3.6	3.6
<b>LSD<sub>0.05</sub></b>	<b>N.S</b>	<b>N.S</b>	<b>N.S</b>	<b>N.S</b>	<b>N.S</b>	<b>N.S</b>	<b>N.S.</b>	<b>N.S</b>
<b>Rate of N fertilizer</b>								
<b>60 kg/fed</b>	28.2	29.2	82.8	82.6	9.9	9.9	3.6	3.7
<b>75 kg/fed</b>	29.5	29.0	82.8	81.2	9.7	9.8	3.7	3.6
<b>LSD<sub>0.05</sub></b>	<b>N.S</b>	<b>N.S</b>	<b>N.S</b>	<b>N.S</b>	<b>N.S</b>	<b>N.S</b>	<b>N.S</b>	<b>N.S</b>
<b>Time of application of N fertilizer</b>								
<b>D<sub>1</sub></b>	27.7	29.4	83.3	82.0	10.0	9.9	3.5	3.5
<b>D<sub>2</sub></b>	28.8	28.7	81.6	81.4	9.7	9.9	3.5	3.6
<b>D<sub>3</sub></b>	29.4	29.3	83.4	81.7	9.7	9.8	3.8	3.8
<b>D<sub>4</sub></b>	29.5	29.1	82.9	82.4	9.8	9.8	3.7	3.6
<b>LSD 0.05</b>	<b>N.S</b>	<b>N.S</b>	<b>N.S</b>	<b>N.S</b>	<b>0.1</b>	<b>N.S</b>	<b>N.S</b>	<b>N.S</b>

Whereas **D<sub>1</sub>**: Before 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> irrigations (control), **D<sub>2</sub>**: Before 2<sup>nd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> irrigations, **D<sub>3</sub>**: Before 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> irrigations and **D<sub>4</sub>**: Before 2<sup>nd</sup>, 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> irrigations.

## CONCLUSION

From the obtained results, it could be concluded that under middle Egypt environmental conditions the sprayed cotton plants with potassium sulphate twice and fertilized with 60 kgN/fed as soil application given as three equal doses before 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> irrigation recorded maximum productivity of Giza 95 cotton cultivar.

## CONFLICT OF INTEREST

All authors named in the manuscript have no conflict of interest; they are entitled to the authorship and have approved the final version of the submitted manuscript.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Firstly, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my father, Prof. Sayed Abd El-Hady, for supporting me spiritually throughout writing this paper and my life in general. I am also grateful to Prof. Safwat Ismail for assistance with his effort, time, who moderated this paper and, in that line, improved the manuscript significantly. I am also immensely grateful to Prof. Ahmed Anwar Abdul-Galil for their time to review this paper and enrich it with more valuable information, and their assistance in the English language corrections

## AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors contributed equally in all parts of this study.

## Copyrights: © 2017 @ author (s).

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License \(CC BY 4.0\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

## REFERENCES

- Abd El-Gayed, S.SH., Wassel, O.M.M and Ghorab, M.H.H. (2014). Response of Giza 80 to non-radical nutrition during flowering stage of growth. Egypt. J. Agric., 92(1). Athens, Georgia, 30607, USA.
- Abd El-Mohsen, A.A. and Ahmed, M.A. (2015). The effect of applying different fertilizer regimes on productivity and profitability of Egyptian cotton under Middle

- Egypt conditions. *Adv. Agric. Biol.* 4 (1): 31-38
- Abou-Zaid, M.K.; G.M.El-Shebiny and F.M. Ghaly (2002). Future of Egyptian cotton production in the New Reclaimed desert land of Egypt. *J. Adv. Agric. Res.*, 7 (1): 71-86.
- Aslam, M., Sangh, A.H., Khalid, L. and Javed S. (2013). Effect of different levels of N and plant population on growth and yield of cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) *Asian J. Agri. Boil*, 1(3): 127-132.
- Blais, D.; Singh, J.V.; Bond, A.N. (2009). Response of rainfed cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*) to foliar application of potassium. *Indian J. Agron.*, 54(4): 444-448.
- Delwar, H.M.D.; Mohammed, H.M. and Joamal, T. and Handan, J. (2000). Effects of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium levels on kenaf (*Hibiscus cannabinus* L.) growth and photosynthesis under nutrient solution. *J. of Agric. Sci.*, 2 (2): 1-9.
- Hamoda, S.A.F., Ibrahim, M.A.A. and Emara, M.A.A. (2014). Effect of interval irrigation and NPK on growth, yield and quality for the new cotton hybrid [Giza 83 × (Giza 75 × 5844) × Giza 80]. *Egypt. J. Agric. Res.*, 92(1).
- Howard, D.D., Gwathmey, C.O., Essington, M.E., Robert, R.K. and Mullen M.D. (2001). Nitrogen fertilization of no-till cotton on loess-Derived soils. *Agron. j.* (93):157-163.
- Hutchinson, R.L.; Breitenbeck, G.A.; Brown, R.A. and Thomas, W.J. (1995). Winter cover crop effects on nitrogen fertilization requirements of no-till and conventional-Tillage cotton. 73-76. In McClelland et al. (1995). (ed). Conservation-Tillage systems for cotton: A review of research and demonstration results from across the cotton Belt. Arkansas Agric. Exp. Stn., Fayetteville, AR.
- Ismail, S. A., Abo-EL-Nour, M.S. and Nagib, A.A. (2000). Influence of N fertilization and hill spacing on the productivity of Giza 80 cotton cultivar in middle Egypt. *Fayoum J. Agric., Res.& Dev.* 14: (1) .
- Kumar, J.; Arya, K.C. and Sidduqe, M.Z. (2011). Effect of foliar application of KNO<sub>3</sub> on growth, yield attributes, yield and economics of hirsutum cotton. *J. cotton .Res. Dev.*, 25 (1): 122-123.
- Moussa, H.R and Amira, M.H (2017). Selenium Affects Physiological Responses of *Phaseolus vulgaris* in Response to Salt Level. *International Journal of Vegetable Science*, 1-19.
- Nafiu , K.A. , Chude, V.O. and Ezendu, C.O. (2017). Field evaluation of foliar blend micronutrient fertilizer on cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*) production in katsina state, Nigeria. *Jeai*, 17(2): 1-8,
- Page, A. L.; Miller, R.H and Keny, D. R. (1982). *Methods of Soil Analysis Part II: Chemical Microbiological Properties* (2nd). American Soc. of Agron. Madison, Wisconsin, U.S.A.
- Pettigrew, WT (2008). Potassium influences on yield and quality production for maize, wheat, soybean and cotton. *Physiol. Plant* 133(4): 670-681.
- Seadh, S. E.; El-Hendi , M.H.; Abd El-Aal, H. A. and Shaimaa, O.S. ElSayed (2012). Effect of NPK rates and humic acid applications on growth of Egyptian cotton. *J. Plant Prod., Mansoura Univ.*, 3 (8): 2287-2299.
- Sendecor, G.W. and Cochran, W.G. (1980). *Statistical Methods*, 7<sup>th</sup> Edin. Iowa State Univ. Press, Iowa, U.S.A.
- Subhan, M., Khan, H.U. and Ahmed, R.O. (2001). Population analysis of some agronomic and technological characteristics of upland cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). *Pakistan J. Biol. Sci.*, 1: 120-123.
- Zakaria, M. S., Mahmoud, M.H. and El Guibali, A.H. (2011). Influence of potassium fertilization and foliar application of zinc and phosphorus on growth, yield components, yield and fiber properties of Egyptian cotton (*Gossypium barbadense* L.). *J. Plant Ecol.*, 1(4): 259-270.